arXiv:1611.01191v1 [physics.atom-ph] 3 Nov 2016

Forbidden M1 and E2 transitions in monovalent atoms and ions

U. I. Safronova

Physics Department, University of Nevada, Reno, Nevada 89557

M. S. Safronova

Department of Physics and Astronomy, 217 Sharp Lab, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19716

W. R. Johnson

Department of Physics, 225 Nieuwland Science Hall, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556

(ΩDated: November 7, 2016)

We carried out a systematic high-precision relativistic study of the forbidden magnetic-dipole and electric-quadrupole transitions in Ca^+, Rb, Sr^+, Cs, Ba^+, Fr, Ra^+, Ac^{2+}, and Th^{3+}. This work is motivated by the importance of these transitions for tests of fundamental physics and precision measurements. The relative importance of the relativistic, correlation, Breit correction, and contributions of negative-energy states is investigated. Recommended values of reduced matrix elements are presented together with their uncertainties. The matrix elements and resulting lifetimes are compared with other theoretical values and with experiment where available.

PACS numbers: 31.15.ac, 31.15.ag, 31.15.aj, 31.15.bw

I. INTRODUCTION

Forbidden transitions have been of much interest in recent years due to their applications in optical clocks [1], tests of fundamental physics [2–7], and quantum information [8]. These applications require long-lived metastable states and, therefore, knowledge of their atomic properties including various multipolar transition rates and branching ratios. While many accurate measurements of the electric-dipole matrix elements exist, there are much fewer precision benchmarks for the M1 and E2 transitions.

The interest in forbidden transitions is further motivated by the emergence of the highly charged ions (HCI) as potential candidates for the development of ultra-precise atomic clocks and tests of variation of fundamental constants [3–5, 9, 10]. Highly charged ions with optical transition suitable for metrology exhibit a particularly rich variety of low-lying multipolar transitions, even including the metastable levels that can decay only via the M3 decay channel. Until recently, the HCI proposals remained a theoretical possibility, but the first proof-of-principle demonstration of sympathetic cooling of Ar^{13+} with laser cooled Be^+ [11] paved the way toward the experimental realization of the HCI clock proposals. The experimental work toward these new applications of HCIs has already started [12], and reliable predictions of transition properties are urgently needed. While this paper deals with ions of lower degree of ionization, up to Th^{3+}, the general conclusions of the computational accuracy and importance of various contributions are still be applicable for HCI of interest with a few valence electrons.

We selected the examples of the forbidden transitions in Rb, Cs, and Fr alkali-metal atoms and monovalent Ca^+, Sr^+, Ba^+, Ra^+, Ac^{2+}, and Th^{3+} ions with similar electronic structure due to their particular interest to applications above as well as availability of some experimental measurements. M1 transitions in Rb, Cs, Ba^+, Fr, and Fr-like ions are of particular interest due to studies of parity violation [6, 7, 13–15]. The M1 and E2 transitions in Rb, Cs, Ba^+, Yb^+, Ra^+, Ac^{2+}, and Th^{3+} ions were recently studied by Gossel et al. [16], raising the issue of the accuracy of the M1 transition matrix elements.

The goals of parity violation studies with heavy atoms are to test the Standard Model of particle physics and to study the weak interaction inside the nucleus. In addition, atomic parity violation is uniquely sensitive to possible "dark forces" which are motivated by the intriguing possibility of a "dark sector" extension to the Standard Model [17].

The most accurate, to 0.3%, atomic parity violation studies was carried out in $6s-7s$ transition is Cs [2]. The analysis of this experiment in the terms of the comparison with the standard model which required a theoretical calculation of the parity-violating amplitude was carried out in [18, 19].

We carry out the calculation of the E2 and M1 in monovalent atoms and ions using a relativistic linearized coupled-cluster method. To evaluate the uncertainty of the recommended values, we study the relativistic, correlation, and Breit corrections as well as contributions from negative energy states. We start with the review of the previous experimental and theoretical studies of the E2

transitions for the systems of interest. The $s-d$ E2 transition in monovalent ions are used in clock and quantum information applications, and nd states lifetimes have been a subject of numerous studies described below.

II. SUMMARY OF PRIOR RESULTS FOR THE E2 TRANSITIONS

***Ca*$^+$ –** Lifetime measurements of the metastable $3d$ levels of Ca^+ were reported by Knoop *et al.* [20] using the Ca^+ ions stored in a Paul trap. The natural lifetimes were determined to be 1111(46) ms and and 994(38) ms, for the $3d_{3/2}$ and $3d_{5/2}$ states, respectively, in agreement with previous experiments. An improved measurement of the $3d_{5/2}$ lifetime, 1168(7) ms, was carried out by Barton *et al.* [21] using quantum jumps of a single cold calcium ion in a linear Paul trap. Experimental and theoretical study of the $3d$ lifetimes was reported by Kreuter *et al.* [22]. This work introduced a measurement technique based on a high-efficiency quantum state detection after coherent excitation to the $3d_{5/2}$ state or incoherent shelving in the $3d_{3/2}$ state, and subsequent free, unperturbed spontaneous decay, yielding the value of 1168(9) ms, in agreement with the value reported in Ref. [21]. The lifetime of the $3d_{3/2}$ state, 1176(11) ms, was measured with a single ion, improving the statistical uncertainty of previous best result by a factor of four. The experimental lifetimes were found to be in excellent agreement with the high-precision *ab initio* all order calculations, [$\tau(3d_{3/2})$= 1196(11) ms and $\tau(3d_{5/2})$= 1165(11) ms], reported in the same work [22]. Sahoo *et al.* [23] used the relativistic coupled-cluster theory to calculated the $3d$ lifetimes. A large-scale study of the Ca^+ properties, motivated by the development of an atomic clocks based on the $4s-3d_{5/2}$ transition in a Ca^+ single ion, was carried out in [24]. It included the calculation of the blackbody radiation shift of the clock transition, multipole polarizabilities, oscillator strengths, lifetimes, hyperfine constants, and excitation energies.

***Sr*$^+$ –** A lifetime measurement of the metastable $4d_{3/2}$ level in Sr^+ was carried out by Mannervik *et al.* [25] using optical pumping of a stored ion beam. Collinear laser excitation in the storage ring transferred the main part of the ion beam into the metastable $4d_{3/2}$ level. Subsequent observation of the forbidden electric quadrupole transition to the ground state yielded information about the radiative lifetime of the metastable state, 435(4) ms. The lifetimes of the $4d$ levels were determined both experimentally and theoretically by Biemont *et al.* [26]. The experiment was performed at an ion storage ring utilizing collinear laser excitation. The calculation was performed by the Hartree-Fock method including relativistic effects and core polarization. The $4d_{5/2}$ lifetime was measured to be 390(1.6) ms with a single laser-cooled, trapped ion by Letchumanan *et al.* [27] using Dehmelt's electron shelving method to monitor the ions electronic state. Sahoo *et al.* [23] used the relativistic coupled-cluster theory calculate the $4d$ lifetimes. A systematic study of Sr^+ atomic properties was carried out in [28] motivated by the development of the Sr^+ clock and the need for the evaluation of the blackbody radiation shift of the clock transitions. Safronova [28] used the relativistic linearized coupled-cluster approach, which included single, double, and partial triple excitations, to obtain 441(3) ms and 394(2) ms for the lifetimes of the $4d_{3/2}$ and $4d_{5/2}$ states, respectively, in excellent agreement with the experimental values [26, 27].

***Ba*$^+$ –** Lifetimes of the $5d$ states of Ba^+ are much longer than the corresponding values in Ca^+ and Sr^+, making their accurate measurement particulary difficult. A single Ba^+ atom was confined in a radio-frequency ion trap and cooled by near-resonant laser light by Madej and Sankey [29]. A measurement of quantum-jump distributions together with careful measurements of the absolute partial pressures of all residual gas species enabled accurate measurements of the quenched $5d_{5/2}$ lifetime as a function of quenching gas pressure, 34.5±3.5 s [29]. The measurement of the Ba^+ $5d_{3/2}$ lifetime was carried out by Nagourney and Dehmelt [30] using a single trapped Ba^+ ions in ultrahigh vacuum. The collisional quenching was found insignificant in the experiment, but there were indications of a non-negligible fine-structure mixing effect [30]. The resulting value of 79.8±4.6 s resolved the discrepancy existing at that time. Laser-probing measurements and calculations of lifetimes of the $5d$ levels were reported by Gurell *et al.* [31]. The lifetimes, 89.4 ± 15.6 s for the $5d_{3/2}$ level and 32.0 ± 4.6 s for the $5d_{5/2}$ level, were measured in a beam-laser experiment performed at the ion storage ring CRYRING. The electric-quadrupole transition amplitudes for Ba^+ were evaluated by Gopakumar *et al.* [32] using the relativistic coupled-cluster method, giving the lifetimes of the $5d_{3/2}$ and $5d_{5/2}$ levels equal to 81.4 s and 36.5 s, respectively. Sahoo *et al.* [23] used the relativistic coupled-cluster theory to obtain 80.0(7) s and 29.9(3) s for these levels, respectively, followed by another calculation of the same group [33]. Reduced electric-quadrupole elements were calculated using both many-body perturbation theory and the all-order method including single, double, and partial triple excitations Safronova [34]. The resulting lifetimes, 81.5(1.3) s and 30.3(0.4) s for the $5d_{3/2}$ and $5d_{5/2}$ levels, respectively, were found to be in good agreement with the measured values [31].

***Cs* -** While in alkaline-earth metal ions, the first nd levels are metastable, this is not the case in neutral alkali-metal atoms, where the first np levels are below the nd levels and the $E1$ decay of the nd levels is allowed. Clab and Nayfen [35] measured the transition probability of the electric quadrupole $6s-5d$ transition Cs, $21\pm1.5\ s^{-1}$, by two-photon ionization of the ground $6s$ state, using the $5d$ as an intermediate state. Previous measurements of this quantity yielded conflicting results. The authors of [35] noted that their measurement was in agreement with a laser absorption-fluorescence measurement, and in disagreement with the results of anomalous dispersion,

TABLE I: Recommended values of the reduced electric-quadrupole matrix elements in atomic units. Dirac-Fock DF, third-order many-body perturbation theory MBPT3 and all-order SD and SDpT values are listed; the label "sc" indicates the scaled values. Final recommended values are given in the "Final" column. The next two columns give the absolute uncertainties and relative uncertainties of the final values in percent. The rows labeled NBR and BR contain results excluding and including the Breit interaction, respectively. Absolute values are given.

		Transition	DF	MBPT3	SD	SD_{sc}	SDpT	$SDpT_{sc}$	Final	Unc.	Unc. (%)
Th^{3+}	NBr	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	7.781	6.918	7.063	7.110	7.111	7.102	7.110	0.047	0.66
	Br	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	7.781	6.917	7.062	7.109	7.111	7.102	7.109	0.047	0.66
Ac^{2+}	NBr	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	10.682	9.218	9.515	9.585	9.611	9.571	9.585	0.027	0.28
	Br	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	10.679	9.216	9.512	9.585	9.609	9.571	9.585	0.024	0.25
Ra^{+}	NBr	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	17.263	13.744	14.587	14.736	14.855	14.698	14.736	0.119	0.81
	Br	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	17.252	13.734	14.578	14.737	14.846	14.699	14.737	0.109	0.74
Fr	NBr	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	43.096	30.292	31.976	33.427	33.458	33.235	33.427	0.193	0.58
	Br	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	43.092	30.241	31.937	33.431	33.425	33.232	33.431	0.199	0.59
Cs	NBr	$6s-5d_{3/2}$	43.846	30.815	31.548	33.612	33.332	33.372	33.612	0.280	0.83
	Br	$6s-5d_{3/2}$	43.830	30.763	31.505	33.620	33.282	33.373	33.620	0.338	1.01
Ba^{+}	NBr	$6s-5d_{3/2}$	14.763	11.821	12.498	12.627	12.740	12.589	12.627	0.114	0.90
	Br	$6s-5d_{3/2}$	14.753	11.813	12.489	12.627	12.732	12.589	12.627	0.105	0.83
Rb	NBr	$5s-4d_{3/2}$	38.896	31.793	32.444	32.943	32.941	32.805	32.943	0.138	0.42
	Br	$5s-4d_{3/2}$	38.901	31.788	32.156	32.883	32.939	32.806	32.883	0.078	0.24
Sr^{+}	NBr	$5s-4d_{3/2}$	12.968	10.588	11.010	11.133	11.172	11.100	11.133	0.039	0.35
	Br	$5s-4d_{3/2}$	12.961	10.581	11.003	11.133	11.165	11.100	11.133	0.033	0.30
Ca^{+}	NBr	$4s-3d_{3/2}$	9.767	7.407	7.788	7.945	7.971	7.907	7.945	0.038	0.48
	Br	$4s-3d_{3/2}$	9.761	7.401	7.782	7.945	7.966	7.907	7.945	0.038	0.47
Th^{3+}	NBr	$7s-6d_{5/2}$	10.008	8.986	9.153	9.211	9.209	9.202	9.211	0.059	0.64
	Br	$7s-6d_{5/2}$	10.002	8.979	9.145	9.204	9.202	9.195	9.204	0.059	0.64
Ac^{2+}	NBr	$7s-6d_{5/2}$	13.655	11.956	12.281	12.366	12.392	12.349	12.366	0.027	0.22
	Br	$7s-6d_{5/2}$	13.644	11.944	12.270	12.362	12.381	12.346	12.362	0.019	0.15
Ra^{+}	NBr	$7s-6d_{5/2}$	21.771	17.802	18.689	18.859	18.991	18.816	18.859	0.133	0.70
	Br	$7s-6d_{5/2}$	21.749	17.778	18.667	18.855	18.969	18.812	18.855	0.114	0.61
Fr	NBr	$7s-6d_{5/2}$	52.740	37.632	40.017	41.582	41.644	41.405	41.582	0.177	0.43
	Br	$7s-6d_{5/2}$	52.729	37.567	39.963	41.582	41.597	41.358	41.582	0.224	0.54
Cs	NBr	$6s-5d_{5/2}$	53.712	38.087	39.147	41.464	41.229	41.233	41.464	0.234	0.57
	Br	$6s-5d_{5/2}$	53.686	38.013	39.082	41.515	41.163	41.233	41.515	0.352	0.85
Ba^{+}	NBr	$6s-5d_{5/2}$	18.384	14.863	15.651	15.809	15.943	15.758	15.809	0.135	0.85
	Br	$6s-5d_{5/2}$	18.362	14.844	15.632	15.800	15.924	15.755	15.800	0.124	0.79
Rb	NBr	$5s-4d_{5/2}$	47.636	38.945	39.755	40.367	40.369	40.199	40.367	0.168	0.42
	Br	$5s-4d_{5/2}$	47.642	38.938	39.414	40.295	40.364	40.199	40.295	0.096	0.24
Sr^{+}	NBr	$5s-4d_{5/2}$	15.972	13.100	13.602	13.747	13.797	13.707	13.747	0.051	0.37
	Br	$5s-4d_{5/2}$	15.957	13.088	13.588	13.745	13.784	13.705	13.745	0.040	0.29
Ca^{+}	NBr	$4s-3d_{5/2}$	11.978	9.099	9.561	9.750	9.785	9.704	9.750	0.046	0.47

emission, and electron impact techniques.

Fr and Fr-like ions - Theoretical studies of the E2 $6d-7s$ transition rates were carried out in [36]. Safronova *et al.* [37] calculated reduced matrix elements of the E2 $6d-7s$ transitions in Fr-like Ra and Ac ions using the relativistic linearized coupled-cluster method.

The M1 and E2 transitions in Rb, Cs, Ba^{+}, Yb^{+}, Ra^{+}, Ac^{2+}, and Th^{3+} ions were studied in [16].

III. ELECTRIC-QUADRUPOLE TRANSITIONS

We carried out all calculations using four different variants of the linearized coupled-cluster (all-order) method. A review of the all-order method, which involves summing series of dominant many-body perturbation terms to all orders, is given in [38]. In the single-double (SD) all-order approach, single and double excitations of the Dirac-Fock orbitals are included and the SD state vector

TABLE II: Recommended values of the reduced electric-quadrupole matrix elements (in a.u.) are compared with experimental measurements and other theoretical values.

	Transition	Present	Expt.	Theory
Th^{3+}	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	7.110(47)		7.10 [16]
Ac^{2+}	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	9.585(27)		9.58 [16]
Ra^{+}	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	14.74(12)		14.77 [16]
Fr	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	33.43(19)		35.96(60)[36]
				33.59 [16]
Cs	$6s-5d_{3/2}$	33.61(28)	34.2(1.2) [35]	33.60 [16]
Ba $^{+}$	$6s-5d_{3/2}$	12.63(11)	12(1) [31]	12.74 [33]
			12.76(35) [30]	12.63 [32]
Rb	$5s-4d_{3/2}$	32.94(14)		33.42 [16]
Sr^{+}	$5s-4d_{3/2}$	11.13(39)	11.21(5) [25]	11.33(10) [23]
			11.21(5) [26]	11.13(4) [28]
Ca^{+}	$4s-3d_{3/2}$	7.94(4)	8.01(4) [22]	8.04(4) [22]
			7.92(3) [21]	7.97(2) [23]

of a monovalent atom in state v:

$$|\Psi_v\rangle = \left[1 + \sum_{ma} \rho_{ma} a_m^\dagger a_a + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{mnab} \rho_{mnab} a_m^\dagger a_n^\dagger a_b a_a + \right.$$
$$\left. + \sum_{m\neq v} \rho_{mv} a_m^\dagger a_v + \sum_{mna} \rho_{mnva} a_m^\dagger a_n^\dagger a_a a_v \right] |\Psi_v^{(0)}\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where $|\Psi_v^{(0)}\rangle$ is the lowest-order atomic state vector and $a_i^\dagger$, a_j are creation and annihilation operators. The quantities ρ_{ma}, ρ_{mv} are single-excitation coefficients for core and valence electrons and ρ_{mnab} and ρ_{mnva} are double-excitation coefficients for core and valence electrons, respectively. In Eq. (1), the indices m and n range over all possible virtual states while indices a and b range over all occupied core states. The single, double, partial-triple (SDpT) method also includes classes of the triple excitations.

In either SD or SDpT all-order method, the matrix elements of any one-body operators, such as M1 and E2,

$$Z = \sum_{ij} z_{ij} a_i^\dagger a_j, \quad (2)$$

are obtained as

$$Z_{wv} = \frac{\langle\Psi_w|Z|\Psi_v\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle\Psi_v|\Psi_v\rangle\langle\Psi_w|\Psi_w\rangle}}, \quad (3)$$

where $|\Psi_v\rangle$ and $|\Psi_w\rangle$ are given by the expansion (1). The numerator of Eq. (3) consists of the sum of the lowest-order DF matrix element z_{wv} and twenty other terms that are linear or quadratic functions of the excitation coefficients ρ_{mv}, ρ_{ma}, ρ_{mnva}, and ρ_{mnab}.

The largest terms are frequently

$$Z^{(a)} = \sum_{ma} z_{am}\tilde{\rho}_{wmva} + \sum_{ma} z_{ma}\tilde{\rho}^*_{vmwa}, \quad (4)$$

$$Z^{(c)} = \sum_{m} z_{wm}\rho_{mv} + \sum_{m} z_{mv}\rho^*_{mw}. \quad (5)$$

TABLE III: Lifetimes τ of the nd states in Ca^+, Sr^+, Ba^+, Ra^+, and Ac^{2+} in seconds.

Ion	State	Present	Theory	Experiment
Ca^+	$3d_{3/2}$	1.194(11)	0.98 [39]	1.111(46) [20]
			1.271 [40]	1.17(5) [41]
			1.16 [42]	1.20(1) [21]
			1.080 [43]	1.176(11) [22]
			1.196(11)[22]	
			1.185(7) [23]	
Sr^+	$4d_{3/2}$	0.437(14)	0.454 [40]	0.435(4)[25]
			0.422 [26]	0.435(4)[26]
			0.426(7)[23]	0.455(29)[26]
			0.441(3)[44]	
Ba^+	$5d_{3/2}$	81.4(1.4)	83.7 [40]	79.8(4.6) [30]
			81.5 [45]	89(16) [31]
			81.4 [32]	
			80.1(7)[23]	
			82.0 [31]	
			81.5(1.2)[46]	
			84.5[15]	
Ra^+	$6d_{3/2}$	0.6382(94)	0.638(10)[47]	
			0.627(4)[48]	
			0.642[45]	
			0.642[15]	
Ac^{2+}	$6d_{3/2}$	$1.171(6)\times10^6$	1.19×10^6[15]	
Ca^+	$3d_{5/2}$	1.163(11)	0.95 [39]	0.994(38) [20]
			1.236 [40]	1.064(17) [49]
			1.14 [42]	0.969(21) [50]
			1.045 [43]	1.09(5) [41]
			1.165(11) [22]	1.100(18) [51]
			1.110(9) [23]	1.168(7) [21]
				1.168(9) [22]
				1.174(10) [52]
Sr^+	$4d_{5/2}$	0.3945(22)	0.405 [40]	0.372(25) [53]
			0.384 [26]	0.408(22) [26]
			0.357(12)[23]	0.3908(16) [27]
			0.394(3)[44]	
Ba^+	$5d_{5/2}$	30.34(48)	37.2 [40]	32(5) [54]
			30.3 [45]	34.5(3.5) [29]
			36.5 [32]	32.0(4.6) [31]
			29.9(3) [23]	31.2(09)[55]
			31.6 [31]	
			30.4(4) [46]	
Ra^+	$6d_{5/2}$	0.3028(37)	0.303(4)[47]	0.232(4)[56]
			0.297(4)[48]	0.232(4)[57]
			0.302[45]	
Ac^{2+}	$6d_{5/2}$	2.326(34)		

The first term is mostly associated with the random-phase approximation (RPA) corrections, while the second one with the Brueckner-orbital corrections, but there is no one-to-one correspondence to many-body classification of the correction to matrix elements.

Omitted higher excitations can also be estimated by

TABLE IV: The M1 matrix elements evaluated in the second order RMBPT approximation. The lowest-order matrix elements without and with retardation, are listed in columns labeled "DF", and "DF(Ret)". The second order Coulomb and Breit contributions are listed in the "Cl" and "Br_{pos}" columns. The second-order Breit correction calculated with the inclusion of the negative energy (NEG) contributions is given in next column, Br_{neg}. The final second order results MBPT2=Cl+Br_{pos}+Br_{neg} are listed in the last column. Units: $10^5\mu_B$.

	Transition	DF	DF(ret)	Cl	Br_{pos}	Br_{neg}	MBPT2
Th^{3+}	$7s-8s_{1/2}$	14.44	11.78	-127.9	-0.232	3.177	-125.1
Ac^{2+}	$7s-8s_{1/2}$	10.07	8.336	-130.1	-0.136	2.304	-128.1
Ra^{+}	$7s-8s_{1/2}$	6.085	5.141	-129.8	0.142	1.252	-128.5
Fr	$7s-8s_{1/2}$	-2.559	-2.229	56.82	-0.277	-0.353	56.22
Cs	$6s-7s_{1/2}$	1.952	1.631	-5.001	0.236	0.171	-4.617
Ba^{+}	$6s-7s_{1/2}$	4.952	4.021	-12.710	0.140	0.821	-11.80
Rb	$5s-6s_{1/2}$	1.824	1.479	0.288	0.207	0.116	0.588
Sr^{+}	$5s-6s_{1/2}$	4.800	3.784	-2.123	0.057	0.730	-1.390
Ca^{+}	$4s-5s_{1/2}$	-4.395	-3.308	-0.063	-0.014	-0.530	-0.557
Th^{3+}	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	1.545	1.560	147.3	0.024	-1.127	146.3
Ac^{2+}	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	1.120	1.121	145.2	0.029	-1.266	144.1
Ra^{+}	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	-0.596	-0.641	-39.46	-0.099	1.380	-38.25
Fr	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	-0.063	0.074	26.53	0.159	-0.971	25.75
Cs	$6s-5d_{3/2}$	0.094	-0.026	-2.705	-0.091	0.732	-2.089
Ba^{+}	$6s-5d_{3/2}$	-0.551	-0.562	-14.52	0.036	0.736	-13.81
Rb	$5s-4d_{3/2}$	-0.289	-0.120	-0.731	0.054	-0.505	-1.165
Sr^{+}	$5s-4d_{3/2}$	0.155	0.206	2.612	-0.019	-0.713	1.945
Ca^{+}	$4s-3d_{3/2}$	-0.041	-0.090	-0.347	0.024	0.625	0.237

the scaling procedure described in [38], which corrects ρ_{mv} excitation coefficients and the corresponding terms containing these quantities in Eq. (3), such as term (c). It can be started from either SD or SDpT approximations. The resulting values are labeled with the subscript *sc*, SD_{sc} and $SDpT_{sc}$. Comparing values obtained in different approximations, *ab initio* SD and SDpT and scaled SD and SDpT allows us to evaluate the uncertainty of the calculations in the cases where the contributions that can be corrected by scaling are dominant. We find that this condition is satisfied for the E2 transitions considered in the present work, where term c given by Eq. (5) strongly dominates.

In Table I, we list our recommended values for the $s-d$ E2 reduced matrix elements in Fr and Fr-like ions, Cs, Ba^+, Rb, Sr^+, and Ca^+. The absolute values are given in units of ea_0^2, where a_0 is the Bohr radius and e is the elementary charge. Results of first-order Dirac-Fock, third-order many-body perturbation theory and the four all-order calculations described above are listed in the columns labeled DF, MBPT3, SD, SDpT, SD_{sc} and $SDpT_{sc}$. The lowest-order values given in the DF column illustrate the size of the correlation corrections. Final recommended values are given in the "Final" column. The next column gives the absolute uncertainties. The evaluation of the uncertainty of the matrix elements in this approach was described in detail in [58, 59]. The differences of the all-order values for each transition calculated in different approximation were used to estimate uncertainty in the final results based on the algorithm that accounted for importance of the specific dominant contributions. The column labeled "Unc. %" of Table I gives relative uncertainties of the final values in per cent. The uncertainties are small and range from 0.1% to 1%.

We also investigated the effect of the Breit interaction on the E2 matrix elements. Table I lists the results calculated with and without the Breit interaction. The one-body part of the Breit interaction was included in the construction of the finite basis set which was used in all of the all-order calculations. The two-body Breit correction to matrix elements is small as discussed in detail in [60]. The Breit contribution is very small, less than 0.01% for all cases. The relative uncertainties given in the last column of Table I are less than 1%.

In Table II, our recommended values of the reduced electric quadrupole matrix elements are compared with recent theoretical calculations of Ref. [16]. Most of the other theoretical and all of the experimental papers give the results for the lifetimes of the nd states of ions levels rather than the $s-d$ matrix elements. For the $nd_{3/2}$ lifetimes τ, the E2 matrix elements $Z(nd_{3/2}-n's)$ in a.u. may be accurately extracted using

$$Z=\left[\frac{(2j+1)\lambda^5}{1.11995\times10^{18}\ \tau}\right]^{-1/2},$$

where $j=3/2$, λ is the wavelength of the $ns-n'd_{3/2}$ transition in Å, and lifetimes τ is in seconds. The contribution of the $ns-n'd_{3/2}$ transitions is negligibly small.

For the $nd_{5/2}$ states, these is an additional contribution to the lifetime from the $nd_{5/2}-nd_{3/2}$ M1 transition. In light ions, Ca^+ and Sr^+, the contribution of this M1 decay channel to the lifetime is very small, but it be-

TABLE V: Reduced magnetic M1 dipole matrix elements in μ_B. The first-order and all-order SD and SDpT values are listed; the label "sc" indicates the scaled values. Final recommended values are given in the "Final" column. Absolute values are given in $10^5\mu_B$, except where lowest-order has an opposite sign in comparison with the final value.

		Transition	DF	SD	SD_{sc}	SDpT	$SDpT_{sc}$	Final
Th^{3+}	No Breit	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	1.545	121.9	121.7	122.0	121.9	121.9
	Breit	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	4.394	123.1	122.9	123.2	123.1	123.1
Ac^{2+}	No Breit	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	1.119	129.6	129.3	129.6	129.6	129.6
	Breit	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	3.513	130.3	130.0	130.3	130.3	130.3
Ra^{+}	No Breit	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	0.596	138.4	138.1	138.0	147.0	138.4
	Breit	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	2.368	138.6	138.1	138.0	138.4	138.6
Fr	No Breit	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	0.063	125.9	123.7	120.7	120.8	125.9
	Breit	$7s-6d_{3/2}$	0.570	125.6	123.2	120.2	120.4	125.6
Cs	No Breit	$6s-5d_{3/2}$	0.094	13.23	12.84	12.57	12.54	13.23
	Breit	$6s-5d_{3/2}$	0.429	13.84	13.38	13.15	13.10	13.84
Ba^{+}	No Breit	$6s-5d_{3/2}$	0.551	15.65	15.59	15.54	15.60	15.65
	Breit	$6s-5d_{3/2}$	2.009	16.94	16.86	16.79	16.86	16.94
Rb	No Breit	$5s-4d_{3/2}$	-0.289	1.553	1.531	1.462	1.449	1.553
	Breit	$5s-4d_{3/2}$	0.017	2.006	1.893	1.883	1.862	2.006
Sr^{+}	No Breit	$5s-4d_{3/2}$	0.155	3.380	3.351	3.339	3.355	3.380
	Breit	$5s-4d_{3/2}$	1.463	4.693	4.650	4.634	4.657	4.693
Ca^{+}	No Breit	$4s-3d_{3/2}$	0.041	0.817	2.389	0.780	0.792	0.817
	Breit	$4s-3d_{3/2}$	1.203	2.012	1.973	1.960	1.263	1.973

come significant for Ba^+, with 18% branching ratio, i.e. relative contribution of the M1 rate to the sum of the M1 and the E2 transition rates. Our matrix elements for the $6s-5d_{3/2}$ transitions in Cs is in excellent agreement with experimental measurements given in Ref. [35], with the theoretical prediction having much smaller uncertainty. Our values are in agreement with the experiment for alkaline-earth ions within the uncertainties.

Table III gives the comparisons of the present lifetime results with the experiment [20–23, 26, 30, 31, 41, 49–52] and other theory [15, 23, 25, 26, 32, 39, 39–48]. No experimental lifetimes are available for the the $6d$ levels of the Fr-like ions.

In alkali-metal neutral atoms, nd states are not metastable and E2 or M1 contributions to the lifetimes are negligible.

IV. MAGNETIC DIPOLE MATRIX ELEMENTS

The M1 matrix elements for the $s-s$ and $s-d$ are much more difficult to calculate accurately than the E2 ones. For the E2 transitions, the correlation contributes at most 25% to the total, while for the M1 transitions the lowest-order values are very small, and the final result comes almost entirely from the correlation corrections. The Breit interaction is more significant as well. Moreover, the negative-energy states, $\varepsilon_i < mc^2$, may contribute.

The influence of the negative-energy states (NES) on forbidden magnetic-dipole $s-s$ transitions in alkali-metal atoms was investigated by Savukov *et al.* in Ref. [61]. The NES contributions were significant in almost all cases and, for rubidium, reduced the transition rate by a factor of 8. Derevianko *et al.* [62] derived the leading term in an αZ expansion for the negative-energy (virtual electron-positron pair) contributions to the transition amplitudes of heliumlike ions, finding a strong dependence on the choice of the zeroth-order Hamiltonian, which defines the negative-energy spectrum. The ratio of negative-energy contributions to the total transition amplitudes for some of nonrelativistically forbidden transitions was shown to be of order $1/Z$. In the particular case of the magnetic-dipole transition $3\ ^3S_1 - 2\ ^3S_1$, authors noted that neglecting of negative-energy contributions, in an otherwise exact no-pair calculation, would lead one to underestimate the decay rate in helium by a factor of 1.5 in calculations using a Hartree basis and by a factor of 2.9 using a Coulomb basis [62].

The contribution from the negative-energy states for the M1 transitions in Be-like ions was studied by Safronova *et al.*[63], demonstrating that the NES contribution scales as $\alpha^2 Z$ for both Breit and Coulomb contributions. The relative contribution of the NES was about 0.03% for transitions inside the $2l2l'$ configuration space and 3% for the $2l_12l_2 - 2l_33l_4$ transition. Authors concluded that the NES contributions were important for the weakest transitions in a given transition array.

The E1, E2, M1, and M2 transitions in the nickel isoelectronic sequence were investigated by Hamasha *et al.* [64]. The contributions from negative-energy states were included in the second-order E1, M1, E2, and M2 matrix elements. In second-order matrix elements, such contributions arise explicitly from those terms in the sum over states for which $\varepsilon_i < mc^2$. The NES contributions dras-

TABLE VI: Magnetic dipole matrix elements in units of $10^5\mu_B$. Relative signs of the present results are adjusted so that final matrix elements are positive. RPA includes lowest-order DF results; the third-order MBPT results (MBPT3) include both DF and RPA. The results are compared with DF and RPA values from [16].

	Transition	DF		DF [16]	RPA		RPA [16]	MBPT3		All-order
		No Breit	Breit	Breit	No Breit	Breit	Breit	No Breit	Breit	Breit
Th^{3+}	$8s-7s$	-14.44	-15.78	-13.23	158.8	155.2	-2549	64.68	61.66	
Ac^{2+}	$8s-7s$	-10.07	-11.13	-8.911	172.8	169.4	-2390	86.97	84.18	
Ra^{+}	$8s-7s$	-6.085	-6.935	-5.744	185.3	182.0	185.1	112.7	110.0	
Fr	$8s-7s$	-2.559	-3.000	-2.49	177.1	174.4	176.5	139.9	137.4	
Cs	$7s-6s$	-1.952	-2.189	-1.652	14.22	13.66	14.13	12.45	11.83	
Ba^{+}	$7s-6s$	-4.952	-5.366	-4.050	13.24	12.46	13.53	8.042	7.257	
Rb	$6s-5s$	-1.824	-1.998	-1.473	1.004	0.740	1.216	0.859	0.553	
Sr^{+}	$6s-5s$	4.800	5.099		1.706	2.099		2.392	2.828	
Ca^{+}	$5s-4s$	4.395	4.570		4.400	4.579		4.579	4.791	
Th^{3+}	$8s-6d_{3/2}$	1.545	4.401	4.432	214.4	216.0	212.2	111.9	123.8	123.1
Ac^{2+}	$8s-6d_{3/2}$	1.119	3.518	3.510	216.3	214.5	213.6	123.1	113.2	130.3
Ra^{+}	$8s-6d_{3/2}$	0.596	2.368	2.401	213.7	212.8	210.3	142.5	142.5	138.6
Fr	$8s-6d_{3/2}$	-0.063	0.571	0.737	128.5	127.9	126.9	146.3	145.8	125.6
Cs	$7s-5d_{3/2}$	-0.094	0.429	0.566	12.70	12.95	11.98	13.52	14.05	13.84
Ba^{+}	$7s-5d_{3/2}$	0.551	2.011	2.006	22.72	23.65	22.06	13.54	14.86	16.94
Rb	$6s-4d_{3/2}$	-0.289	0.017	0.245	1.214	1.448	1.019	0.849	1.238	2.006
Sr^{+}	$6s-4d_{3/2}$	0.155	1.464		4.125	5.219		1.853	3.193	4.693
Ca^{+}	$5s-3d_{3/2}$	-0.041	1.204		-0.657	1.708		0.040	1.183	1.973

tically change the second-order Breit-Coulomb matrix elements $B^{(2)}$. However, the second-order Breit-Coulomb correction contributes only 2-5% to uncoupled M1 matrix elements and, as a result, negative-energy states changed the total values of M1 matrix elements by only a few percent [64].

The contributions from negative-energy states were included in the second-order E1, M1, E2 M2, E3 and M3 matrix elements in [65]. The NES contributions to the second-order Breit-Coulomb matrix elements for the transition from $3d_{5/2}5d_{3/2}(1)$ state in Ni-like ions weakly increases with Z, however, the relative NES contribution for this transition decreases with Z (2% and 0.6% for $Z = 40$ and $Z = 90$, respectively). Ref. [65] noted that the NES contribution for this transition are of the same order as the positive-energy state contribution to the second-order Breit-Coulomb matrix elements causing severe cancellation and drastically reducing the $B^{(2)}$ values in this case.

Therefore, we include the contributions of the NES as well as retardation corrections and correlation effects for the M1 transitions in detail in the second-order MBPT calculation.

In Table IV, we list the M1 magnetic matrix elements evaluated in second order RMBPT approximation. The lowest-order DF values are evaluated with the relativistic version of the M1 operator without retardation. The DF(Ret) values include retardation. The table illustrates that the retardation corrections are particularly large for the $s-d$ transition in Rb, Cs, and Fr. The second order Coulomb and Breit contributions are listed in the 'Cl" and "Br_{pos}" columns. The second-order Breit correction which includes the negative energy (NEG) contributions is given in next column, Br_{neg}. The final second order results MBPT2=Cl+Br_{pos}+Br_{neg} are listed in the last column. We find that the NES effect on the Coulomb correlation correction is negligible and can be omitted without the loss of accuracy, and it is not shown in the table. The contribution of the NES to the second-order Breit correction is significant, as illustrated by the significant differences of the B_{pos} and B_{neg} values. However, the table clearly indicates that Coulomb correlation dominates the final values and its accurate calculation presents the biggest challenge. As noted above, these M1 transitions between the levels of different electronic configurations, are extremely sensitive to the correlation correction, since their lowest-order values are very small and the final result comes almost entirely from the correlation correction.

While it is generally assumed that only RPA corrections contribute to the M1 transitions, this is not the case for some of the transitions studied in this work. We find that the main problem in the accurate calculation of the $s-s$ transitions is a strong cancellation of the large Brueckner-orbital (BO) and structure radiation (SR) corrections. The MBPT classification of terms and the third-order MBPT formulas for the BO and SR corrections is given in [66]. In the all-order case, the BO-type term c given by Eq.(5) is very large but is strongly canceled by SR-type term

$$Z^{(p)} = \sum_{mnra} z_{mn}\tilde{\rho}^{*}_{rmwa}\tilde{\rho}_{rnva}. \qquad (6)$$

Either of these terms is at least an order of magnitude

larger than the RPA. This issue makes the all-order computation of the M1 $s-s$ matrix elements unreliable in its current implementation. Most likely, omission of the triple and higher-excitations leads to incomplete cancellations of various terms and full inclusion of the other high-order corrections, for example due to non-perturbative triple excitations, non-liner terms, and others is needed. An inclusion of the perturbative triples or scaling exacerbates the problem instead of correcting it, since they directly effect only BO-type terms but not the SR. The $s-d$ transitions do not present such problems: we find some non-negligible contributions from the non-RPA terms but no strong cancellations.

In Table V, we list our values for the M1 $s-d$ reduced matrix elements in units of $10^5\mu_B$. The calculations are carried out by the same all-order approach as for the E2 matrix elements. We find that while using the form of the M1 operator that includes retardation changes the DF values, its effect on the final result are negligible at the present level of accuracy and is omitted in Table V. Results with and without the inclusion of the Breit interaction are listed, with the Breit contribution being more important for the M1 transitions in comparison with the E2 transitions.

To improve upon the RPA results for the $s-s$ transition, we use a form-independent third-order MBPT method introduced in [67, 68]. This approach yields electric-dipole transition amplitudes that are equal in the length and velocity forms for transitions in atoms with one valence electron within the framework of relativistic many-body perturbation theory starting from the Dirac-Hartree-Fock approximation. For the M1 transitions, where the matrix elements are in velocity form, such approach appear to provide more accurate cancellations of the large BO and SR many-body corrections. The results are presented in Table VI, where DF, RPA, and the third-order final values are listed. Negative-energy and retardation corrections are omitted, these contributions are smaller than the uncertainty in the correlation corrections as demonstrated in Table IV. DF energies are used to define ω in all RPA calculations. All-order results are listed for the $s-d$ transitions. The all-order results are final for the $s-d$ transitions, and the MBPT3 values are final for the $s-s$ transitions.

In Table VI, we compare our values of the reduced matrix elements with theoretical results from Ref. [16] obtained in the DF and RPA approximations. The differences for Fr-line ions are due to the corrections beyond RPA, included in our calculations.

V. CONCLUSION

In summary, we carried out a systematic relativistic study of $s-s$ and $s-d$ M1 transitions in Fr and Fr-like ions, Cs, Ba^+, Rb, Fr, Cs, Ba^+, Rb, SR^+, and Ca^+ atomic systems. Benchmark comparison of the nd lifetimes is carried out. The relativistic, correlation, Breit, and negative energy contributions are studied. While the accuracy is very high, better than 1%, for the E2 matrix elements, and good for the $s-d$ M1 matrix elements.

Acknowledgments

We thank V.A. Dzuba for helpful discussions. This work is partly supported by NSF grant No. PHY-1620687.

[1] A. D. Ludlow, M. M. Boyd, J. Ye, E. Peik, and P. O. Schmidt, Rev. Mod. Phys. **87**, 637 (2015), 1407.3493.
[2] C. S. Wood, S. C. Bennett, D. Cho, B. P. Masterson, J. L. Roberts, C. E. Tanner, and C. E. Wieman, Science **275**, 1759 (1997).
[3] J. C. Berengut, V. A. Dzuba, and V. V. Flambaum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 120801 (2010).
[4] V. A. Dzuba, M. S. Safronova, U. I. Safronova, and V. V. Flambaum, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 060502 (2015), arXiv:1508.07681.
[5] T. Pruttivarasin, M. Ramm, S. G. Porsev, I. I. Tupitsyn, M. S. Safronova, M. A. Hohensee, and H. Häffner, Nature **517**, 592 (2015).
[6] O. O. Versolato, L. W. Wansbeek, G. S. Giri, J. E. V. D. Berg, D. J. V. D. Hoek, K. Jungmann, W. L. Kruithof, C. J. G. Onderwater, B. K. Sahoo, B. Santra, et al., Hyperfine Interactions **199**, 9 (2011).
[7] S. Aubin, J. A. Behr, R. Collister, V. V. Flambaum, E. Gomez, G. Gwinner, K. P. Jackson, D. Melconian, L. A. Orozco, M. R. Pearson, et al., Hyperfine Interactions **214**, 163 (2013).
[8] P. Schindler, D. Nigg, T. Monz, J. T. Barreiro, E. Martinez, S. X. Wang, S. Quint, M. F. Brandl, V. Nebendahl, C. F. Roos, et al., New J. Phys. **15**, 123012 (2013).
[9] J. C. Berengut, V. A. Dzuba, V. V. Flambaum, and A. Ong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 210802 (2011).
[10] M. S. Safronova, V. A. Dzuba, V. V. Flambaum, U. I. Safronova, S. G. Porsev, and M. G. Kozlov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 030801 (2014).
[11] L. Schmöger, O. O. Versolato, M. Schwarz, M. Kohnenand, A. Windberger, B. Piest, S. Feuchtenbeiner, J. Pedregosa-Gutierrez, T. Leopold, P. Micke, et al., Science **347**, 6227 (2015).
[12] A. Windberger, J. R. Crespo López-Urrutia, H. Bekker, N. S. Oreshkina, J. C. Berengut, V. Bock, A. Borschevsky, V. A. Dzuba, E. Eliav, Z. Harman, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 150801 (2015).
[13] W. N. J. A. Sherman, A. Andalkar and E. N. Fortson, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 052514 (2008).
[14] V. A. Dzuba, V. V. Flambaum, and B. Roberts, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 062512 (2012).
[15] B. M. Roberts, V. A. Dzuba, and V. V. Flambaum, Phys.

Rev. A **88**, 012510 (2013).
[16] G. H. Gossel, V. A. Dzuba, and V. V. Flambaum, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 034501 (2013).
[17] H. Davoudiasl, H.-S. Lee, and W. J. Marciano, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 095006 (2014).
[18] S. G. Porsev, K. Beloy, and A. Derevianko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 181601 (2009).
[19] V. A. Dzuba, J. C. Berengut, V. Flambaum, and B. Roberts, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 203003 (2012).
[20] M. Knoop, M. Vedel, and F. Vedel, Phys. Rev. A **52**, 3763 (1995).
[21] P. A. Barton, C. J. S. Donald, D. M. Lucas, D. A. Stevens, A. M. Steane, and D. N. Stacey, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 032503 (2000).
[22] A. Kreuter, C. Becher, G. P. T. Lancaster, A. B. Mundt, C. Russo, H. Häffner, C. Roos, W. Hänsel, F. Schmidt-Kaler, R. Blatt, et al., Phys. Rev. A **71**, 032504 (2005).
[23] B. K. Sahoo, Md. R. Islam, B. P. Das, R. K. Chaudhuri, and D. Mukherjee, Phys. Rev. A **74**, 062504 (2006).
[24] M. S. Safronova and U. I. Safronova, Phys. Rev. A **83**, 012503 (2011).
[25] S. Mannervik, J. Lidberg, L.-O. Norlin, P. Royen, A. Schmitt, W. Shi, and X. Tordoir, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 698 (1999).
[26] E. Biémont, S. Mannervik, L.-O. Norlin, P. Royen, A. Schmitt, W. Shi, and X. Tordoir, Eur. Phys. D **11**, 355 (2000).
[27] V. Letchumanan, M. A. Wilson, P. Gill, and A. G. Sinclair, Phys. Rev. A **72**, 012509 (2005).
[28] U. I. Safronova, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 022504 (2010).
[29] A. A. Madej and J. D. Sankey, Phys. Rev. A **41**, 2621 (1990).
[30] N. Yu, W. Nagourney, and H. Dehmelt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 4698 (1997).
[31] J. Gurell, E. Biémont, K. Blagoev, V. Fivet, P. Lundin, S. Mannervik, L.-O. Norlin, P. Quinet, and D. Rostohar, Phys. Rev. A **75**, 052506 (2007).
[32] Geetha Gopakumar, Holger Merlitz, Rajat K. Chaudhuri, B. P. Das, Uttam Sinha Mahapatra, and Debashis Mukherjee, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 032505 (2002).
[33] B. K. Sahoo, B. P. Das, R. K. Chaudhuri, and D. Mukherjee, Phys. Rev. A **75**, 032507 (2007).
[34] U. I. Safronova, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 052506 (2010).
[35] Wallace GLAB and Munir H. NAYFEH, Opt. Commun. **38**, 262 (1981).
[36] B. K. Sahoo and B. P. Das, Phys. Rev. A **93**, 052511 (2015).
[37] U. I. Safronova, W. R. Johnson, and M. S. Safronov, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 042504 (2007).
[38] M. S. Safronova and W. R. Johnson, Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **55**, 191 (2008).
[39] M. A. Ali and Y.-K. Kim, Phys. Rev. A **38**, 3992 (1988).
[40] C. Guet and W. R. Johnson, Phys. Rev. A **44**, 1531 (1991).
[41] J. Lidberg, A. Al-Khalili, L.-O. Norlin, P. Royen, X. Tordoir, and S. Mannervik, J. Phys. B **32**, 757 (1999).
[42] N. Vaeck, M. Godefroid, and C. Froese Fischer, Phys. Rev. A **46**, 3704 (1992).
[43] S.-S. Liaw, Phys. Rev. A **51**, p. R1723 (1995).
[44] D. Jiang, B. Arora, M. S. Safronova, and C. W. Clark, J. Phys. B **42**, 154020 (2009).
[45] V. A. Dzuba, V. V. Flambaum, and J. S. M. Ginges, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 62101 (2001).
[46] E. Iskrenova-Tchoukova and M. S. Safronova, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 012508 (2008).
[47] Rupsi Pal, Dansha Jiang, M. S. Safronova, and U. I. Safronova, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 062505 (2009).
[48] B. K. Sahoo, B. P. Das, R. K. Chaudhuri, D. Mukherjee, R. G. E. Timmermans, and K. Jungmann, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 040504 (2007).
[49] P. S. T. Gudjons, B. Hilbert and G. Werth, Europhys. Lett. **33**, 595 (1996).
[50] G. Ritter and U. Eichmann, J. Phys. B **30**, L141 (1997).
[51] M. Block, O. Rehm, P. Seibert, and G. Werth, Eur. Phys. J. D **7**, 461 (1999).
[52] H. Guan, Y. Huang, P.-L. Liu, W. Bian, H. Shao, and K.-L. Gao, Chin. Phys. B **24**, 054213 (2015).
[53] A. A. Madej and J. D. Sankey, Opt. Lett. **15**, 634 (1990).
[54] W. Nagourney, J. Sandberg, and H. Dehmelt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 2797 (1986).
[55] C. Auchter, T. W. Noel, M. R. Hoffman, S. R. Williams, and B. B. Blinov, Phys. Rev. A **90**, 060501 (2014).
[56] O. O. Versolato, G. S. Giri, L. W. Wansbeek, J. E. van den Berg, D. J. van der Hoek, K. Jungmann, W. L. Kruithof, C. J. G. Onderwater, B. K. Sahoo, B. Santra, et al., Phys. Rev. A **82**, 010501 (2010).
[57] O. O. Versolato, L. W. Wansbeek, G. S. Giri, J. E. van den Berg, D. J. van der Hoek, K. Jungmann, W. L. Kruithof, C. J. G. Onderwater, B. K. Sahoo, B. Santra, et al., Can. J. Phys. **89**, 65 (2011).
[58] M. S. Safronova and U. I. Safronova, Phys. Rev. A **83**, 052508 (2011).
[59] M. S. Safronova and U. I. Safronova, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 022504 (2012).
[60] A. Derevianko, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 012106 (2002).
[61] I. M. Savukov, A. Derevianko, H. G. Berry, and W. R. Johnson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 2914 (1999).
[62] A. Derevianko, Igor M. Savukov, W. R. Johnson, and D. R. Plante, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 4453 (1998).
[63] U. I. Safronova, W. R. Johnson, and A. Derevianko, Phys. Scr. **60**, 46 (1999).
[64] S. Hamasha, A. Shlyaptseva, and U. Safronova, Can. J. Phys. **82**, 331 (2004).
[65] U. I. Safronova, A. S. Safronova, and P. Beiersdorfer, J. Phys. B **39**, 4491 (2006).
[66] W. R. Johnson, Z. W. Liu, and J. Sapirstein, At. Data Nucl. Data Tabl. **64**, 279 (1996).
[67] I. M. Savukov and W. R. Johnson, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 052512 (2000).
[68] I. M. Savukov and W. R. Johnson, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 052506 (2000).